Research Techniques Made Simple: Forward Genetic Screening to Uncover Genes Involved in Skin Biology



William McAlpine¹, Jamie Russell¹, Anne R. Murray¹, Bruce Beutler¹ and Emre Turer^{1,2}

The primary goals of modern genetics are to identify disease-causing mutations and to define the functions of genes in biological processes. Two complementary approaches, reverse and forward genetics, can be used to achieve this goal. Reverse genetics is a gene-driven approach that comprises specific gene targeting followed by phenotypic assessment. Conversely, forward genetics is a phenotype-driven approach that involves the phenotypic screening of organisms with randomly induced mutations followed by subsequent identification of the causative mutations (i.e., those responsible for phenotype). In this article, we focus on how forward genetics in mice can be used to explore dermatologic disease. We outline mouse mutagenesis with the chemical *N*-ethyl-*N*-nitrosourea and the strategy used to instantaneously identify mutations that are causative of specific phenotypes. Furthermore, we summarize the types of phenotypic screens that can be performed to explore various aspects of dermatologic disease.

Journal of Investigative Dermatology (2019) 139, 1848-1853; doi:10.1016/j.jid.2019.04.013

CME Activity Dates: 21 August 2019 Expiration Date: 20 August 2020 Estimated Time to Complete: 1 hour

Planning Committee/Speaker Disclosure: Bruce Beutler, MD receives research support from Pfizer, Inc. All other authors, planning committee members, CME committee members and staff involved with this activity as content validation reviewers have no financial relationships with commercial interests to disclose relative to the content of this CME activity.

Commercial Support Acknowledgment: This CME activity is supported by an educational grant from Lilly USA, LLC.

Description: This article, designed for dermatologists, residents, fellows, and related healthcare providers, seeks to reduce the growing divide between dermatology clinical practice and the basic science/current research methodologies on which many diagnostic and therapeutic advances are built.

Objectives: At the conclusion of this activity, learners should be better able to:

- Recognize the newest techniques in biomedical research.
- Describe how these techniques can be utilized and their limitations.
- Describe the potential impact of these techniques.

CME Accreditation and Credit Designation: This activity has been planned and implemented in accordance with the accreditation requirements and policies of the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education through the joint providership of Beaumont Health and the Society for Investigative Dermatology. Beaumont Health is accredited by the ACCME to provide continuing medical education for physicians. Beaumont Health designates this enduring material for a maximum of 1.0 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s)*TM. Physicians should claim only the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Method of Physician Participation in Learning Process: The content can be read from the Journal of Investigative Dermatology website: http://www.jidonline.org/current. Tests for CME credits may only be submitted online at https:// beaumont.cloud-cme.com/RTMS-Sept19 — click 'CME on Demand' and locate the article to complete the test. Fax or other copies will not be accepted. To receive credits, learners must review the CME accreditation information; view the entire article, complete the post-test with a minimum performance level of 60%; and complete the online evaluation form in order to claim CME credit. The CME credit code for this activity is: 21310. For questions about CME credit email cme@beaumont.edu.

INTRODUCTION

Genetic studies in mice are critical to the mechanistic understanding of human disease. In classical genetics, two common approaches are implemented to elucidate gene function: reverse and forward genetics. Reverse genetics is a gene-to-phenotype approach in which a specific gene is targeted, and the phenotypic effects of this disruption are examined. In contrast, forward genetics is a phenotype-togene approach that begins with a mutant phenotype of interest and proceeds to the identification of the disrupted gene. Both methods have been used to elucidate genes required for protection against dermatologic disease (DeStefano and

¹Center for the Genetics of Host Defense, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA; and ²Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Gastroenterology, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

Correspondence: Bruce Beutler, Center for the Genetics of Host Defense, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas, 75390-8505, USA. E-mail: Bruce.Beutler@UTSouthwestern.edu or Emre Turer, Center for the Genetics of Host Defense, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, 5323 Harry Hines Boulevard, Dallas, Texas, 75390-8505, USA. Email: Emre.Turer@UTSouthwestern.edu Abbreviations: CRISPR, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; ENU, N-ethyl-N-nitrosourea

SUMMARY POINTS

Advantages

- Forward genetics is unbiased and can lead to unexpected breakthroughs.
- With increased genomic damage saturation, multiple mutations affect the same pathway. The complete set of genes involved in a biologic pathway can thus be deduced by forward genetics provided that enough mice are assessed.
- Hypomorphic mutations introduced by ENU can be viable, whereas early lethality may be caused by a complete loss of function.
- New drug targets can be ascertained from mutations that modify disease.

Limitations

- Forward genetics in mice is resource intensive and can be both expensive and laborious.
- The mechanism by which mutations in some genes give rise to a phenotype can be difficult to solve.

Christiano, 2014). Reverse genetics generally involves prior knowledge or speculation as to how a gene may function, which can limit the finding of novel and unexpected pathways leading to a disease phenotype. Forward genetics makes no such assumptions. Because forward genetics does not start with any preconceived ideas as to how phenotypes arise, it can lead to the discovery of new molecules or pathways involved in a biological process that were previously undetected by researchers. Moreover, if enough mutations are screened for a specific phenotype, the full set of genes with nonredundant function in a biological process can be defined.

ENU MUTAGENESIS AND BREEDING STRATEGY

The mutagen used for forward genetics in mice is N-ethyl-Nnitrosourea (ENU). While other mutation systems exist (e.g., radiation induced and transposons), ENU mutagenesis is preferred because of the high mutation rate and the ability to generate deleterious missense alleles. ENU generates the highest mutational load in the germline of any known agent, introducing approximately 3,000 mutations into each male gamete after three intraperitoneal injections of 100 mg/kg body weight, administered at weekly intervals (Arnold et al., 2012). ENU is a DNA alkylating agent that modifies nucleotide residues by the transfer of an ethyl group. ENU causes point mutations that result in A-T to T-A transversions or A-T to G-C transitions; G-C to C-G transversions are rarely observed (Arnold et al., 2012). At the protein level, 70% of ENU mutations result in nonsynonymous changes, with 65% of these being missense and the remainder resulting from nonsense or splice mutations (Arnold et al., 2012).



Figure 1. Breeding scheme for the generation of G3 mice. G0 mice are bred to C57BL/6J females. G1 males are crossed to C57BL/6J females to produce G2 mice. The G3 generation results from backcrossing of G2 females to the G1 founder mouse. Asterisks represent mutations derived from the G0 male. ENU, *N*-ethyl-*N*-nitrosourea.

Point mutations leading to missense alleles are ideal for several reasons. First, monogenic diseases, including those involving skin, are most commonly caused by coding region point mutations rather than by mutations in intronic or regulatory regions (DeStefano and Christiano, 2014; Oliver and Davies, 2012). Moreover, in addition to null alleles, ENUinduced nucleotide substitutions can generate hypomorphs, hypermorphs, and neomorphs that more closely resemble disease-causing alleles in humans (Oliver and Davies, 2012). The terms hypomorphic and hypermorphic describe mutations that result in a partial loss or gain of function, respectively, whereas neomorphic describes a mutation that confers a new function. Hypomorphic mutations can be compatible with viability even if they occur in essential genes, which comprise an estimated one-third of the genome. Indeed, viable hypomorphic mutations in essential genes are more likely to cause phenotypes as compared with hypomorphic mutations in nonessential genes (Wang et al., 2018).

ENU mutagenesis is usually carried out on a highly inbred genetic background such as C57BL/6J, in which there is homozygosity at almost all loci, and mutations induced by ENU are readily detected in the heterozygous state by highthroughput DNA sequencing (e.g., with an Illumina sequencing platform). Because ENU-induced phenotypes are typically ascribable to changes in coding sense, it is sufficient to cover the coding region (whole-exome sequencing) to detect the great majority of causative mutations. ENUinduced genetic variation is rather limited within a single pedigree (compared with human genetic variation within a large population of unrelated individuals); therefore, when

a phenotype is detected, it will most likely be ascribable to a single nucleotide change.

Assessment of mutations in the homozygous state is preferred over the heterozygous state because for many genes, mutations causing dominant phenotypes either do not occur or occur very rarely. The generation of mice with homozygous ENU mutations is achieved by the following breeding scheme (Figure 1). ENU-mutated male mice (G0) are bred to a wild-type female mouse, and mutations are transmitted in the heterozygous state to the G1 generation. G1 males are bred to wild-type females, and the mutations are again transmitted in the heterozygous state to the G2 generation. G2 daughters are backcrossed to the G1 males, yielding G3 mice with mutations in the heterozygous and the homozygous states, which allows for the detection of phenotypes that are caused by both dominant and recessive models of inheritance. On average, a phenotypically neutral mutation will be transmitted to homozygosity in 12.5% of the G3 mice, but if a mutation impairs survival in the homozygous state, or is linked to another mutation that does so, fewer homozygotes may be observed. This breeding scheme does not mutagenize the X chromosome because only G1 males, bearing mutagenized Y chromosomes, are used to produce pedigrees. Alternative breeding schemes that involve the breeding of G0 males with G1 females carrying germline mutations derived from other mutagenized males can be used to screen for X-linked phenotypes. Moreover, mutations on the Y chromosome rarely yield phenotypes because of the highly repetitive Y chromosome sequence.

The size of pedigrees produced for forward genetic studies strikes a balance. On the one hand, there is a desire to detect even those mutations that do compromise survival, in addition to the desire to dissociate linked mutations by meiotic recombination and thereby resolve which mutation is causative of a particular phenotype. On the other hand, increasing the number of G3 mice analyzed increases the cost of the process per mutation screened. Typically, an average number of 50–60 G3 mice are produced per pedigree, and pedigrees with fewer than 20 G3 mice are not screened.

REAL-TIME MAPPING OF A QUALITATIVE TRAIT

In the past, identification of the causative mutation for a phenotype involved positional cloning, a process that often required several years of breeding, outcrossing, and backcrossing to establish a critical region, followed by physical mapping in which the gene content of the critical region was determined and the subsequent mutation identification by Sanger sequencing of all the coding regions and splice junctions. This process has been greatly accelerated not only by the advent of massively parallel sequencing techniques but also by genotyping all the G3 mice at all the mutation sites and the use of high-speed statistical computation to test the null hypothesis that each mutation has nothing to do with any phenotypic variance that might be observed in the screening (Wang et al., 2015). The real-time identification of mutations is based on the premise that causation can be ascribed if all induced and/or background stock mutations are known, and if the zygosity of those mutations is known in all G3 mice (Wang et al., 2015). An average of 60 mutations in coding residues are transmitted from every ENUmutagenized G0 progenitor to the G1 founder of each pedigree, and these mutations are identified by wholeexome sequencing. The G1 male serves as the grandsire of the pedigree. All the mutations in a G1 mouse are transmitted to the G3 mice, and the G3 mice are genotyped across the mutated loci before phenotypic screening. As soon as phenotypic data are collected from the G3 mice, they can be combined with the genotypic information to determine the likelihood that an observed association between phenotype and genotype would occur by chance (given dominant, additive, or recessive models of inheritance).

Both qualitative and quantitative phenotypes can be subjected to real-time mapping. As an example of the former, real-time mapping was used to identify a mutation in *Dsg4* causative of a hair loss phenotype. Whole-exome sequencing of the pedigree revealed 72 coding region mutations. Thirty-six G3 mice were produced and assessed for a hair loss phenotype. These mice were sequenced at all 72 loci identified in the G1 founder and then assessed for various phenotypes. Upon visual inspection, four mice in this pedigree exhibited early hair loss (Figure 2a). Thirtytwo appeared normal and were designated as unaffected. Automated mapping by recessive, additive, and dominant models of inheritance implicated a missense mutation in *Dsg4* that results in a valine to glutamic acid change at amino acid 211 of the protein. Mapping was the strongest

Figure 2. Mapping of a hair loss phenotype to a *Dsg4* mutation. (a) Representative image of hair loss exhibited by four mice in a pedigree. (b) Manhattan plot showing the *P*-value of association between the phenotype and the mutations identified in the pedigree, calculated using a recessive model of inheritance. The $-\log_{10} P$ -values were plotted versus the chromosomal positions of mutations. Horizontal red and purple lines represent thresholds of P = 0.05with or without Bonferroni correction, respectively.





with a recessive model of inheritance ($P = 1.2 \times 10^{-5}$, Figure 2b); the 4 affected mice were homozygous for the missense mutation in Dsg4, whereas the 32 mice that were unaffected were either wild-type or heterozygous at this locus. Moreover, the affected mice exhibited varying zygosities at the other 71 loci that were found to be mutated with ENU, increasing the likelihood that the Dsg4 mutation is causative for the phenotype. Generally, phenotypic mappings are confirmed via the generation of mice that knock-in for the ENU mutation using the clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats (CRISPR)/ CRISPR-associated protein 9 technology; however, in this case, mutations in Dsg4 have been reported to cause variable hair loss in lanceolate hair (lah) mouse models (Sundberg et al., 2000), making verification unnecessary. An overview of the forward genetic approach in its entirety is provided in Figure 3.

PHENOTYPIC SCREENING

An incisive screen is a critical element in forward genetics. A screen should address a well-defined and realistic question. It should also be robust to the extent that few false positives are registered (i.e., minimal type 1 error), meaning that a minimum amount of resources will be dedicated to the exploration of mutations that ultimately prove to be noncausative. The less one understands about a biological phenomenon, the more one stands to gain by screening, and the less can be accomplished by other approaches. Yet it is usually a mistake to conclude at the outset that "too much is known" about a particular topic to warrant screening. Biological phenomena mediated by a small number of genes with nonredundant function will yield few causative mutations, yet these may be compellingly important. Those that depend upon large numbers of genes, perhaps operating independently in multiple cell types, will yield many causative mutations, which, however, may be more difficult to understand mechanistically. In such a situation, the mutations with the greatest effect size and the greatest novelty may be the ones to pursue. While some quantitative phenotypes may show a small effect size, it is important to remember that most human phenovariance results from additive or synergistic genetic differences at multiple loci. From this standpoint, ENU-induced mutations may point the way to a detailed understanding of complex phenotypes. ENU mutagenesis can and should be used to suppress disease phenotypes, as well as to create them.

Mouse phenotypes can present spontaneously or only in the presence of an environmental challenge. In our laboratory, G3 mice undergo a series of phenotypic assays, beginning with those that are least invasive and ending with those that are highly invasive. The pipeline provides a comprehensive assessment of visible, innate and adaptive immune, metabolic, and neurobehavioral phenotypes. The phenotypes detected during screening are cataloged and made publicly available at mutagenetix.utsouthwestern.edu. At the beginning of the phenotypic pipeline, the mice are inspected for visible phenotypes, including differences in size, limb number, behavior, and skin. In total, 96,569 G3 mice that harbored over 155,957 ENU alleles have been assessed in this manner, and 32 mutations that lead to alterations in gross morphology of skin, hair, or nails have been detected. Some of these mutations were found in genes that were known to be important for cutaneous biology including Dsg4, Lyst, and Tmem79 (Barbosa et al., 1996; Perou et al., 1996; Sasaki et al., 2013; Sundberg et al., 2000), whereas others (e.g., Gk5, Tmprss6, Mbtps1, Dock7, Krt33a, and Krt25 [Blasius et al., 2009; Brandl et al., 2009; Crozat et al., 2009; Du et al., 2008a, 2008b; Rutschmann et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2017]) had not been implicated previously. Because all coding ENU mutations are ascertained through whole-exome sequencing, the genome saturation achieved by a phenotypic assay can be determined provided that the probability that a mutation is damaging is known. In addition, 39% of genes have been severely damaged or destroyed and assessed for visible phenotypes with at least three mice homozygous for each damaging mutation.

In some cases, phenotypes may only become apparent after environmental manipulation. This includes challenging mice with exogenous agents such as microbes or chemicals. Examples of dermatologic challenge assays that could be conducted include wound healing (Grada et al., 2018) and chemically induced psoriasis (Hawkes et al., 2018), which were recently featured in this Research Techniques Made Simple series. These two models share features with the intestinal dextran sulfate sodium injury model, which is one of the more fruitful assays in our



Figure 3. Overview of the forward genetics approach conducted at the Center for Genetics of Host Defense. (a) A minimum of 20 G3 mice are produced from backcrossing of 8–10 G2 mice to their G1 father. (b) Whole-exome sequencing is performed on the G1 founder. (c) G2 and G3 mice are genotyped across the mutated loci identified in the whole-exome sequencing of the G1 mouse. (d) G3 mice undergo a series of phenotypic assays. (e) Genotype data and quantitative phenotype data are used for mapping by Linkage Analyzer (Wang et al., 2015). Calculated *P*-values for nonlinkage and scatterplots of phenotypic data for every mutant allele are displayed by the Linkage Explorer. (f) Causative mutations are confirmed by observation of the mutant phenotype in mice with a second mutant allele, which may be generated by CRISPR/Cas9 targeting. ENU, *N*-ethyl-*N*-nitrosourea; CRISPR, clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats; Cas9, CRISPR-associated protein 9.

MULTIPLE CHOICE QUESTIONS

- 1. ENU mutagenesis primarily results in what type of mutations?
 - A. Insertions
 - B. Deletions
 - C. Nucleotide substitutions
 - D. Duplications
- 2. Which of the following statements is correct?
 - A. Mutations in the G2 generation can be found in the heterozygous form.
 - B. Mutations in the G3 generation can be found in the heterozygous form.
 - C. Mutations in the G3 generation can be found in the homozygous form.
 - D. All of the above.
- 3. On average, what fraction of the G3 mice are homozygous for a functionally neutral mutation?
 - A. 1/16
 - B. 1/8
 - C. 1/4
 - D. 1/2
- 4. What is the probability that a G3 mouse is homozygous for two unlinked neutral mutations?
 - A. 1/64
 - B. 1/32
 - C. 1/16
 - D. 1/8
- 5. Injection of germline mutant mice with mouse cytomegalovirus to determine genes required for resistance to infection is an example of which of the following?
 - A. Spontaneous screen
 - B. Challenge screen
 - C. Modifier screen

See online version of this article for a detailed explanation of correct answers.

laboratory (Turer et al., 2017). Moreover, phenotypes observed in these assays can be scored quantitatively, which offers the advantage of objectively mapping traits of even weak effect.

Almost all the phenotypes detected in our pipeline are monogenic in nature, but occasionally complex phenovariance caused by mutations in the same pedigree can occur. For example, mice with white-spotted cream-colored coats were produced in the presence of a *Sox10* mutation, which caused the white spots, and a *Tyr* mutation, which resulted in the cream color. Large numbers of G3 mice are required to detect complex traits caused by homozygosity for two unlinked recessive alleles because of the low probability (1/64) that a mouse will be homozygous at each locus. While mutations that have additive effects are rarely detected, multiple phenotypes within the same pedigree frequently occur.

CONCLUDING REMARKS

Forward genetics is an unbiased tool for elucidating the genes with nonredundant function in selected biological processes. Real-time mapping technology has made it possible for us to declare thousands of mutations responsible for phenotypes in a relatively short time. Moreover, the degree of saturation (percentage of genes damaged or destroyed and tested for phenotypic effect N times or more in the homozygous state) may be tracked as mutagenesis and screening progress (Wang et al., 2018). In the era of precision medicine, the ENU mutagenesis program detailed here can be envisioned for rapidly determining the significance of variants found in patients and families carrying hereditary dermatologic disease. There is a substantial opportunity to use germline mutagenesis to create or mitigate dermatologic diseases.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors state no conflict of interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Diantha Lavine for expert assistance in figure generation. This work was supported by the Immunology T32 Training Grant Al005184 at the UT Southwestern Medical Center (to WM); NIH Grants K08 DK107886 (to ET), R37 GM066759 (to BB), R01 Al125581 (to BB), and U19 Al100627 (to BB); and by the Lyda Hill Foundation.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Coordinated and Oversaw Research: BB, ET; Researcher: JR, WM; Trainee: WM; Writing: ARM, ET, WM, BB

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Supplementary material is linked to this paper. Teaching slides are available as supplementary material.

REFERENCES

- Arnold CN, Barnes MJ, Berger M, Blasius AL, Brandl K, Croker B, et al. ENUinduced phenovariance in mice: inferences from 587 mutations. BMC Res Notes 2012;5:577.
- Barbosa MD, Nguyen QA, Tchernev VT, Ashley JA, Detter JC, Blaydes SM, et al. Identification of the homologous beige and Chediak-Higashi syndrome genes. Nature 1996;382:262–5.
- Blasius AL, Brandl K, Crozat K, Xia Y, Khovananth K, Krebs P, et al. Mice with mutations of Dock7 have generalized hypopigmentation and whitespotting but show normal neurological function. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009;106:2706–11.
- Brandl K, Rutschmann S, Li X, Du X, Xiao N, Schnabl B, et al. Enhanced sensitivity to DSS colitis caused by a hypomorphic Mbtps1 mutation disrupting the ATF6-driven unfolded protein response. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2009;106:3300–5.
- Crozat K, Li X-H, Smart N, Beutler B. Record for Spikey, updated May 13, 2016. MUTAGENETIX, B. Beutler and colleagues, Center for the Genetics of Host Defense, UT Southwestern, Dallas, TX. 2009. https://mutagenetix.utsouthwestern.edu/phenotypic/phenotypic_rec. cfm?pk=125. Accessed 2018 January 13.
- DeStefano GM, Christiano AM. The genetics of human skin disease. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med 2014;4:a015172.
- Du X, Li X-h, Smart NG, Beutler B. Record for Plush, updated December 12, 2013. MUTAGENETIX, B. Beutler and colleagues, Center for the Genetics of Host Defense, UT Southwestern, Dallas, TX. 2008a. https://

mutagenetix.utsouthwestern.edu/phenotypic/phenotypic_rec.cfm?pk=96. Accessed 2018 January 13.

- Du X, She E, Gelbart T, Truksa J, Lee P, Xia Y, et al. The serine protease TMPRSS6 is required to sense iron deficiency. Science 2008b;320: 1088–92.
- Grada A, Mervis J, Falanga V. Research techniques made simple: animal models of wound healing. J Investig Dermatol 2018;138: 2095–105.e1.
- Hawkes JE, Adalsteinsson JA, Gudjonsson JE, Ward NL. Research techniques made simple: murine models of human psoriasis. J Invest Dermatol 2018;138:e1-8.
- Oliver PL, Davies KE. New insights into behaviour using mouse ENU mutagenesis. Hum Mol Genet 2012;21:R72-81.
- Perou CM, Moore KJ, Nagle DL, Misumi DJ, Woolf EA, McGrail SH, et al. Identification of the murine beige gene by YAC complementation and positional cloning. Nat Genet 1996;13:303–8.
- Rutschmann S, Crozat K, Li X, Du X, Hanselman JC, Shigeoka AA, et al. Hypopigmentation and maternal-zygotic embryonic lethality caused by a hypomorphic mbtps1 mutation in mice. G3 (Bethesda) 2012;2: 499–504.

- Sasaki T, Shiohama A, Kubo A, Kawasaki H, Ishida-Yamamoto A, Yamada T, et al. A homozygous nonsense mutation in the gene for Tmem79, a component for the lamellar granule secretory system, produces spontaneous eczema in an experimental model of atopic dermatitis. J Allergy Clin Immunol 2013;132:1111–20.e4.
- Sundberg JP, Boggess D, Bascom C, Limberg BJ, Shultz LD, Sundberg BA, et al. Lanceolate hair-J (lahJ): a mouse model for human hair disorders. Exp Dermatol 2000;9:206–18.
- Turer E, McAlpine W, Wang KW, Lu T, Li X, Tang M, et al. Creatine maintains intestinal homeostasis and protects against colitis. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017;114:E1273–81.
- Wang T, Bu CH, Hildebrand S, Jia G, Siggs OM, Lyon S, et al. Probability of phenotypically detectable protein damage by ENU-induced mutations in the Mutagenetix database. Nat Commun 2018;9:441.
- Wang T, Zhan X, Bu CH, Lyon S, Pratt D, Hildebrand S, et al. Real-time resolution of point mutations that cause phenovariance in mice. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2015;112:E440–9.
- Zhang D, Tomisato W, Su L, Sun L, Choi JH, Zhang Z, et al. Skin-specific regulation of SREBP processing and lipid biosynthesis by glycerol kinase 5. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 2017;114:E5197–206.

DETAILED ANSWERS

1. ENU mutagenesis primarily results in what type of mutations?

Correct answer: C. Nucleotide substitutions

ENU primarily results in $A \rightarrow T$ transversions or $A \rightarrow G$ transitions.

2. Which of the following statements is correct?

Correct answer: D. All of the above

Mutations are found in the heterozygous form in G2 mice. Mating of the G2 mice with the G1 founder can produce mutations in the heterozygous and homozygous form in the G3 generation.

3. On average, what fraction of the G3 mice are homozygous for a functionally neutral mutation?

Correct answer: B. 1/8

The probability that a G2 mouse is heterozygous for a mutation is 0.50. The probability that a heterozygous G2

mouse produces a homozygous G3 mouse is 0.25. The fraction of G3 mice homozygous for a mutation can be calculated by multiplying these values to obtain 0.125 or 1/8.

4. What is the probability that a G3 mouse is homozygous for two unlinked neutral mutations?

Correct answer: A. 1/64

The probability can be calculated by multiplying $1/8 \times 1/8 = 1/64$.

5. Injection of germline mutant mice with mouse cytomegalovirus to determine genes required for resistance to infection is an example of which of the following?

Correct answer: B. Challenge screen

Challenge screens use an exogenous substance (e.g., chemicals or microbes) as added stressors to reveal phenotypes that may not be apparent under unperturbed conditions.